



MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS

Individual Fellowships (IF)

Ilse DE WAELE Call Coordinator Research Executive Agency

> 7 May 2019 Brussels

MSCA in H2020



Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions



ITN Innovative Training Networks

What does it offer?

High-quality research training delivered through international and interdisciplinary networks, industrial doctorates or joint doctorates

Who applies?

International networks of research organisations from the academic and nonacademic sectors

Who is funded?

Researchers at doctoral level (less than four years of full-time research experience and no doctoral degree)



IF Individual Fellowships

What does it offer? Opportunities to work on personal research projects by moving between countries and possibly sectors to acquire new skills

Who applies? Individual researchers together with the host organisation

Who is funded? Postdoctoral researchers



RISE Research and Innovation Staff Exchange

What does it offer?

The exchange of staff members involved in research and innovation to develop sustainable collaborative projects and the transfer of knowledge

Who applies?

International networks of research organisations from the academic and non-academic sectors

Who is funded?

Researchers, technical, administrative and managerial staff of any nationality and at all career levels



COFUND Co-Funding of Regional, National and International Programmes

What does it offer?

Regional, national or international programmes to foster excellence in researchers' training, mobility and career development

Who applies?

Organisations funding or managing doctoral programmes or fellowship programmes

Who is funded?

Researchers at doctoral and postdoctoral level






IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?



Commission





					MSCA-IF-2	018 : Cumula	itive percent	tage of prop	osais above	threshold, v	/Ith a given i	ecore or hig	her (funding	range mark	ed in green)				
Number of eligible proposals	423 proposals	593 proposals	340 proposals	1056 proposals	152 proposals	901 proposals	923 proposals	1789 proposals	194 proposals	847 proposals	1637 proposals	62 proposals	23 proposals	89 proposais	120 proposals	174 proposals	14 proposals	92 proposais	247 proposals
Cut off score for funding*	91.2	92.4	87.0	92.8	89.4	93.0	92.4	93.6	92.6	90.8	92.6	92.4	93.2	90.0	92.6	91.0	94.2	90.2	90.4
Score equal to or above	CAR	RI	SE	ST-CHE	ST-ECO	ST-ENG	ST-ENV	ST-LIF	ST-MAT	ST-PHY	ST-SOC	GF-CHE	GF-ECO	GF-ENG	GF-ENV	GF-LIF	GF-MAT	GF-PHY	GF-SOC
100	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.55%	0.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
99	0.47%	0.17%	0.59%	0.00%	0.00%	0.55%	0.54%	0.28%	0.00%	0.00%	0.55%	0.00%	0.00%	1.12%	1.67%	0.00%	0.00%	1.09%	0.00%
96	1.65%	1.35%	1.18%	0.95%	0.00%	1.66%	0.76%	1.40%	0.52%	0.35%	1.16%	0.00%	0.00%	2.25%	5.00%	1.15%	0.00%	1.09%	2.43%
97	3.07%	2.36%	1.47%	2.08%	1.97%	3.22%	1.41%	2.96%	2.06%	1.06%	2.26%	3.23%	0.00%	4.49%	6.67%	2.87%	0.00%	2.17%	4.05%
96 95	4.73%	5.90%	2.35%	3.98%	2.63%	5.11%	3.90%	5.48%	3.09%	2.13%	4.15%	8.06%	0.00%	8.99%	10.00%	7.47%	0.00%	5.43%	4.89%
	6.86%	10.62%	2.94%	6.06%	3.29%	7.10%	6.83%	8.72%	4.64%	3.42%	6.66%	9.68%	8.70%	11.24%	13.33%	9.20%	7.14%	7.61%	6.88%
94	10.17%	14.33%	3.53%	8.81%	3.29%	9.43%	8.99%	11.79%	8.25%	5.08%	8.98%	14.52%	8.70%	13.48%	15.00%	11.49%	14.29%	7.61%	11.34%
93	12.29%	17.37%	5.00%	12.03%	3.95%	12.32%	11.27%	14.92%	10.82%	7.44%	11.61%	16.13%	21.74%	15.73%	19.17%	14.37%	14.29%	11.96%	13.36%
92	16.55%	21.08%	6.18%	15.81%	5.26%	15.21%	14.19%	17.94%	14.95%	9.56%	14.17%	22.58%	21.74%	16.85%	20.83%	17.82%	21.43%	16.30%	16.60%
91	18.20%	23.10%	8.53%	18.94%	7.24%	17.87%	18.96%	21.46%	18.56%	12.40%	16.62%	27.42%	21.74%	17.98%	23.33%	22.99%	21.43%	17.39%	19.84%
90	20.80%	27.99%	10.00%	22.92%	8.55%	21.31%	22.10%	25.10%	22.68%	16.53%	19.24%	29.03%	26.09%	22.47%	25.83%	26.44%	21.43%	22.83%	23.48%
89	23.64%	32.21%	10.88%	25.66%	14.47%	24.97%	25.35%	29.29%	25.77%	21.49%	21.62%	41.94%	26.09%	25.84%	26.67%	29.89%	21.43%	25.00%	25.91%
88	26.71%	34.91%	13.24%	30.11%	18.42%	28.19%	29.58%	33.65%	29.38%	25.86%	24.62%	43.55%	30.43%	30.34%	30.83%	34.48%	21.43%	32.61%	29.96%
87	30.73%	39.12%	15.59%	35.23%	20.39%	30.97%	33.59%	37.17%	32.47%	29.16%	27.55%	48.39%	39.13%	35.96%	33.33%	38.51%	28.57%	35.87%	33.60%
86	33.33%	42.50%	17.35%	38.64%	25.00%	34.18%	37.81%	41.36%	37.11%	32.35%	30.36%	48.39%	39.13%	39.33%	40.00%	41.38%	42.86%	38.04%	37.25%
85	35.70%	46.37%	18.53%	42.61%	26.97%	36.51%	40.09%	44.83%	39.69%	35.30%	33.29%	56.45%	47.83%	41.57%	47.50%	45.98%	42.86%	41.30%	39.68%
84	39.24%	50.93%	19.41%	45.64%	29.61%	39.84%	43.23%	48.63%	41.75%	39.43%	35.68%	58.06%	47.83%	46.07%	50.00%	50.00%	42.86%	44.57%	42.91%
83	41.37%	54.30%	22.35%	49.81%	34.87%	43.73%	46.80%	52.82%	42.78%	43.45%	38.73%	59.68%	47.83%	49.44%	52.50%	54.02%	42.86%	47.83%	44.53%
82	44.68%	57.67%	24.12%	52.37%	40.13%	46.28%	51.14%	55.95%	46.91%	46.87%	41.91%	62.90%	52.17%	53.93%	56.67%	56.90%	50.00%	53.26%	47.37%
81	49.17%	59.70%	25.00%	55.30%	41.45%	49.17%	53.74%	59.47%	50.00%	51.59%	44.72%	62.90%	56.52%	57.30%	60.00%	60.92%	50.00%	57.61%	49.39%
80	52.48%	64.76%	27.06%	59.00%	43.42%	52.39%	57.31%	62.21%	55.67%	54.31%	48.01%	62.90%	56.52%	61.80%	64.17%	64.37%	50.00%	59.78%	52.63%
79	55.79%	67.28%	30.29%	62.31%	47.37%	55.27%	60.35%	64.51%	58.25%	58.21%	50.82%	67.74%	60.87%	64.04%	65.00%	65.52%	50.00%	61.96%	57.09%
78	59.34%	69.31%	32.35%	65.15%	50.66%	57.94%	63.81%	67.08%	60.82%	61.04%	53.63%	67.74%	60.87%	66.29%	65.83%	67.24%	50.00%	66.30%	59.51%
77	61.23%	71.33%	35.59%	68.18%	51.97%	59.93%	66.74%	69.48%	65.46%	64.82%	56.81%	70.97%	60.87%	67.42%	70.00%	72.41%	50.00%	70.65%	61.13%
76	62.65%	73.52%	37.94%	71.40%	53.29%	62.04%	69.01%	72.05%	68.56%	68.00%	59.25%	70.97%	60.87%	69.66%	72.50%	75.29%	50.00%	76.09%	63.97%
75	65.25%	76.05%	39.71%	73.96%	53.29%	64.59%	71.07%	74.29%	72.16%	70.72%	61.82%	70.97%	69.57%	73.03%	73.33%	77.59%	57.14%	78.26%	65.99%
74	67.14%	78.08%	41.76%	76.42%	55.26%	67.04%	73.02%	76.08%	74.23%	72.85%	64.32%	77.42%	73.91%	73.03%	75.83%	78.74%	57.14%	79.35%	68.83%
73	68.09%	80.61%	44.41%	78.22%	58.55%	68.81%	74.65%	78.20%	76.29%	75.09%	66.46%	80.65%	73.91%	74.16%	77.50%	81.03%	57.14%	79.35%	70.85%
72	68.32%	83.14%	46.18%	79.55%	59.87%	71.25%	77.03%	79.65%	77.32%	77.10%	68.48%	83.87%	78,26%	75.28%	78.33%	84.48%	71.43%	80.43%	72.06%
71	69.98%	84.49%	50.00%	80.78%	63.16%	73.25%	78.66%	81.72%	79.38%	79.81%	70.43%	87.10%	78.26%	77.53%	78.33%	87.36%	71.43%	82.61%	74.90%
70	72.58%	85.83%	53.82%	83.05%	65.45%	75.58%	80.72%	83.57%	83.51%	82.05%	72.27%	90.32%	78.26%	80.90%	80.83%	87.36%	78.57%	83.70%	75.30%
10	12.36%	00.03%	33.6470	83.05%	00.43%	73.36%	00.1270	63.51%	63.51%	02.00%	12.2176	80.32%	10.20%	00.90%	00.63%	01.30%	10.31%	63.70%	10.30%
Percentage of proposals below threshold (<70)	27.42%	14.17%	40.18%	10.05%	33.55%	24.42%	19.28%	10.43%	10.40%	17.05%	27.73%	2.05%	21.74%	19.10%	10.17%	12.04%	21.43%	18.30%	24.70%

* your proposal can have the same score as the cut off score yet not be funded, due to additional priorities (as defined in the Guide for Applicants) and ex-aequo ranking decisions by the panel.

How to interpret this table The percentage of proposals above the overall threshold and with a given score or higher is shown per ranking list. Green shows the funding range. Proposals below the overall threshold are shown seperately and are not part of the cumulative total. For example:

-in the CAR ranking, 6.88% of all eligible proposals submitted in this ranking list scored 95 or higher. The funding cut off is 91.2.

- In the ST-PHY ranking, 16.53% of all eligible proposals submitted in this ranking list scored 90 or higher. The funding out off is 90.8.

-in the GF-SOC ranking, 24.70% of all eligible proposals submitted in this ranking list scored less than the threshold (70) and were rejected.

IF-2018: Results for Belgium





- Belgian nationals have a higher than average success rate to obtain funding, except in CAR (which also had a low number of submitted proposals)
- Belgian host institutes have a higher than average success rate to obtain funding, *except in SE* (which also had a low number of submitted proposals)





IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?

IF-2019: Topics & Budget







IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?

What are Individual Fellowships?



What are IFs?

 Individual trans-national fellowships to the best and most promising experienced* researchers (* with PhD or 4-year full-time equivalent research experience)



Global Fellowships

- Secondments of 3 6 months in Europe (MS or AC), for greater impact
- ✓ Specific eligibility criteria
- Career development with an appropriate **inter-sectoral** dimension



What are Individual Fellowships?



A researcher may submit only one proposal to this call for proposals.







European Fellowships



For fellows coming to or moving within Europe duration 12-36 months for CAR duration 12-24 months for other EF





Member States (MS)

Associated Countries (AC):

Iceland, Norway, Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, Turkey, Israel, Moldova, Switzerland, Faroe Islands, Ukraine, Tunisia, Georgia, Armenia

Third Countries (TC): any other country



Which sectors?



Academic

Public or private **Higher Education establishment** awarding academic degrees

Public or private **non-profit research organisations**

International **European interest** organisations



Non-profit research institutes

IEIOs

Non-academic

Broad definition:

Any socio-economic actor not included in the academic sector definition



EU Validation Services ultimately determine the sector of each participating organisation





A project :

- is written by the experienced researcher
- includes a concrete plan of training-through-research
- is implemented in the host organisation's premises
- is under the direct supervision of the Supervisor(s)

It should have realistic and well-defined objectives in terms of **career advancement** or for resuming a research career after a break.





Typical training activities may include:

- training-through-research: individual personalised action
- Hands-on training activities for developing scientific (new techniques, instruments) and transferable skills (proposal preparation to request funding, IPR management , task coordination, supervising & monitoring, exploitation of research results)
- Inter-sectoral or interdisciplinary transfer of knowledge (e.g. through secondments)
- Organisation of scientific/training/dissemination events
- Communication, outreach activities and horizontal skills
- Training dedicated to gender issues



What is the financial contribution?



		rcher unit c son/month	Institutional unit cost [person/month]			
Individual Fellowships	Living allowance*	Mobility allowance	Family allowance	Research, training & networking costs	Management & indirect costs	
	4.880 EUR	600 EUR	500 EUR	800 EUR	650 EUR	

*adjusted through the application of a country correction coefficient (BE= 100%)



What are secondments?



A transfer of knowledge which contributes significantly to the impact of the fellowship.

Fellowship Duration	Maximum duration of secondment					
≤ 18 months	3 months					
> 18 months	6 months					

- Should be clearly described in proposal
- Can be a single period or divided into multiple shorter periods
- Can be at more than one partner organisation located in MS/AC
- Can be to an institution in the same country as the beneficiary
- Can be in the same sector although intersectoral secondment is highly encouraged
- No letter of commitment is needed.
- Different from short visit (e.g. field work), which *can* be done in a TC.

A special rule for **Global Fellowships** applies in duly justified cases.





IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?





An experienced researcher applies with a host institution for a research project that can last between 12 and 24/36 months*

Host Institution (future Beneficiary)

* 12 to 36 months for CAR, 12 to 24 months for other EF

- Location: MS or AC
- Sector: Academic or Non-academic
- Can be International European Interest Organisation (IEIO)
- Can be International Organisation (exceptional cases)
- Appoints the Supervisor
- Recruits the experienced researcher (with a contract of employment)

Researcher (future Fellow)


- Must undertake transnational mobility
- Can be of any nationality (with the exception of the Re-Integration panel)



EF – Standard



Schema






EF – Career Restart Panel



Schema









Definition of CAREER BREAK in research:

Not have been active in research for at least 12 consecutive months within the 18 months immediately prior to the deadline for submission of proposals.

Active in research means

- being employed in research, or
- holding a scholarship in research


The **professional status** should be clearly explained in the proposal, both **in part A and B**, *e.g. unemployment, parental leave, sick leave, periods of employment outside of research*



EF – Re-Integration Panel



Schema






EF – Society & Enterprise



Schema









Beneficiary from the non-academic sector only:

- industry and business (including SMEs)
- government
- civil society organisations (NGOs, trusts, foundations, etc.)
- cultural institutions and museums
- hospitals
- international organisations
- ...

ONLY APPLY FOR THIS PANEL WHEN CERTAIN FUTURE HOST IS

NON-ACADEMIC

Earmarked budget of 8 million €





IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?





An experienced researcher applies with a host institution for a research project that can last between 24 and 36 months (12-24 months initial outgoing phase in a in a Third Country + 12 months of mandatory return phase)

Host Institution (future Beneficiary)

Same as in EF!

- Location: MS or AC
- Sector: Academic or Non-academic
- Can be International European Interest Organisation (IEIO)
- Can be International Organisation (exceptional cases)
- Appoints the Supervisor
- Recruits the experienced researcher (with a contract of employment)





Partner Organisation

Different from EF!

- Location: Third Country (not MS or AC)
- Sector: Academic or Non-academic
- Can be an International Organisation
- Nominates a Supervisor for the researcher
- Provides a signed Letter of Commitment in the proposal

The partner organisation

- DOES NOT sign the Grant Agreement
- DOES NOT recruit the researcher
- DOES NOT claim costs directly from the action







Researcher (future Fellow)

- Must undertake mobility from ANY country to the partner organisation in the Third Country. The researcher cannot have spent more than 3 years in the last 5 years in the Third Country of the partner organisation
- Nationality: national of MS/AC or long-term resident of a MS/AC
- Will be under the direct supervision of two Supervisors



Global Fellowships



Schema





Specifics

!!!!!!!! Document needed only for Global Fellowships !!!!!!!!

The **Letter of Commitment** has no specific template, but:

- has heading or stamp from the partner organisation
- is up-to-date (i.e. issued after the call publication, 11 April 2019)
- must demonstrate the will to actively participate in the proposed action and the precise role

If no letter of commitment is included in a Global Fellowship proposal, it will be declared inadmissible and will not be

<u>evaluated.</u>





Overview of the eligibility criteria per action



			GLOBAL			
INDIVIDUAL FE	LLOWSHIPS	ST	CAR	RI	SE	GF
	Nationality	ANY	ANY	MS, AC or long- term residents	ANY	MS, AC or long- term residents
EXPERIENCED RESEARCHERS	Mobility	From ANY country to MS or AC	From ANY country to MS or AC	From TC directly to MS or AC (location of host institution)	From ANY country to MS or AC	From ANY country to TC then to MS/AC
		<= 12 months in the last 3 years	<= 36 months in the last 5 years	<= 36 months in the last 5 years	<= 36 months in the last 5 years	<= 12 months in the last 3 years
	Career break in research	-	at least 12 months within 18 months prior to call deadline	-	-	-
	Beneficiary	MS or AC	MS or AC	MS or AC	MS or AC Non-academic only	MS or AC
	Entity with capital or legal link	MS or AC	MS or AC	MS or AC	MS or AC Non-academic only	MS or AC
PARTICIPANTS	Partner Organisation	MS or AC (optional secondment)	MS or AC (optional secondment)	MS or AC (optional secondment)	MS or AC (optional secondment, both Academic and Non-Academic)	outgoing phase (mandatory) TC MS or AC (optional
						secondment)
DURATION (months)	12 to 24	12 to 36	12 to 24	12 to 24	24 to 36 (12 to 24 + 12)
SCIENTIFIC	AREAS	8	8	8	8	8
NUMBER OF RAN	IKING LISTS	8	1	1	1	8
BUDGET (total € 2	218.5 million)		€236,49 million	€8 million	€ 50 million	



IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria** Proposals – part A and B **Novelties** Who can help you?

Evaluation & Award Criteria



Excellence	Impact	Quality and Efficiency of Implementation
Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project; level of novelty, appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary and gender aspects	Enhancing the future career prospects of the researcher after the fellowship	Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources
Quality and appropriateness of the training and of the two way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host	Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results	Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures, including risk management
Quality of the supervision and of the integration in the team/institution	Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences	Appropriateness of the institutional environment (infrastructure)
Potential of the researcher to reach or re-enforce professional maturity/independence during the fellowship		

Each criterion will be scored out of 5. Decimal points will be given.





0 – Proposal fails to address the criterion and cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.

1 – Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2 – Fair. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

3 – Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

4 – Very good. Proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

5 – Excellent. Proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.





A weighed total score of the scores of the three individual criteria will be calculated and converted into a percentage of the maximum score.

Excellence	Impact	Quality and Efficiency of Implementation					
Weighing							
50%	30%	20%					
Priority in Case of ex-aequo							
1	2	3					
An overall threshold of 70% will be applied to the total weighed score							

An overall threshold of 70% will be applied to the total weighed score. Proposals under the threshold will be rejected.

Prioritization in case of ex-aequo

- \rightarrow if necessary based on other appropriate characteristics that are
 - * decided by the panel
 - * related to the contribution of the proposal to the European Research Area and/or general objectives mentioned in the Work Programme
- → depends on available budget and call conditions



Evaluation Process



- Each proposal is read and evaluated by **three evaluators** in an individual and independent manner. Each evaluator prepares an *individual evaluation report*.
- The three evaluators discuss together to reach a **unanimous consensus** on the final comments and score, and prepare a *consensus report*, containing relevant elements from the three individual reports.
- The consensus score determines the position on the ranking list.
- Applicants receive their *evaluation summary report* (i.e. a copy of the consensus report) in January.

Full details on the process are available in the <u>Guide</u> <u>for Applicants</u> available on the call page





IF-2018: Results

IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria** Proposals – part A and B **Novelties** Who can help you?

> Research Executive Agency

Funding & tender opportunities Portal




https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/home

Select your type of action to start submission

To access the Electronic Submission Service, please click on the submission-button next to the type of action that corresponds to the correct entry point.

To access existing draft proposals for this topic, please login to the Funding & Tenders Portal and select the My Proposals page of



Agency



The **Guide for Applicants** is available on the <u>call page in</u> <u>the Funding & tenders opportunities Portal</u>.

You should consider this document as the primary base for any information on how to prepare your proposal and what should be discussed for each (sub)criterion.

Please follow <u>all</u> instructions closely, and <u>do not</u> refer to the Guide from a previous call!





Proposals must be submitted electronically using the Participant Portal.

Part A: administrative details Section 1 – General Information

- **Section 2** <u>Administrative data</u> of participating organisations (supervisor(s), researcher)
- **Section 3** <u>Budget</u>: information on the duration (person-months) to calculate the total requested EU contribution.
- Section 4 <u>Ethics</u>: identifies any ethical aspects of the proposed work. Even if there are no issues, you must simply confirm that none of the ethical issues apply to the proposal.
- Section 5 <u>Call specific questions</u> request declarations related to eligibility and personal data, together with questions on any secondment in Europe.





The "core" of the proposal – the structure below is mandatory **!!DO NOT ADD ANY PAGES AT THE START OF DOCUMENT 1!!**

DOCUMENT 1 (10-PAGE LIMIT APPLIED)

START PAGE COUNT

- 1. EXCELLENCE
- 2. IMPACT

MAX 10 pages

- 3. IMPLEMENTATION
- **STOP PAGE COUNT**

DOCUMENT 2 (NO OVERALL PAGE LIMIT APPLIED)

- 4. CV OF THE EXPERIENCED RESEARCHER
- 5. CAPACITIES OF THE PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS
- 6. ETHICAL ASPECTS
- 7. LETTERS OF COMMITMENT OF PARTNER ORGANISATIONS (GF only)



Part B is submitted as **two separate documents** – you will not be able to submit the proposal in the submission system unless both Parts 1 and 2 are provided in pdf format.

Of the **maximum 10 pages** applied to sections 1, 2 and 3, applicants are free to decide on the allocation of pages between the sections. However, the overall page limit will be automatically applied, and <u>excess</u> pages will be blanked out and are irrevocably lost. It is the responsibility of the applicant to verify that the submitted pdf documents are readable and are within the page limit.





Descriptors (keywords) are chosen by applicants to guide REA in selection of experts and allocation of proposals to the experts.

Applicants select the descriptors **in order of importance** with 1st being the most important, with a **minimum of three and a maximum of five** descriptors.

The GfA contains a breakdown of scientific areas into descriptors.

Keep in mind:

- The mandatory **first descriptor** should best characterise the subject of the proposal, and should be chosen from the area of research chosen for the proposal.
- The mandatory **second descriptor** should be within the same area of research (e.g.: CHE).
- The mandatory **third descriptor** can be from any of the eight areas of research.
- Applicants may add two additional descriptors chosen freely from any of the eight areas of research.





IF-2018: Results

IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria** Proposals - part A and B **Novelties** Who can help you?

> Research Executive Agency

CAR and RI



The distribution of the indicative budget of the call will be proportional to the number of eligible proposals received in each panel, except where a specific budget for a multidisciplinary panel has been fixed in the call. However, there is a higher weighting for the proposals of the **IF Career Restart Panel (CAR)** and the **IF Reintegration Panel (RI)**. During the budget distribution, the CAR eligible proposals will have a weighting of **2 times** the weighting of the eligible proposals in the other panels. For RI the weighting will be **1.5 times** higher.


	Proposals received	Proportion of proposals before weight	Funded projects before weight	Weight (as per MSCA W.P.)	Weighted	Weight in percentage	funded projects
total EF-ST, EF-CAR, EF-RI	10.000		1.100		11.500		1.100
EF-ST	8.000	80,00%	880,00	1	8.000	69,57%	765
EF-CAR	1.000	10,00%	110,00	2	2.000	17,39%	191
EF-RI	1.000	10,00%	110,00	1,5	1.500	13,04%	143

	Budget before weight	Funded projects before weight	Budget after weight	Funded projects after weight	Change in budget	%	Change in funded projects	%
total EF-ST, EF-CAR, EF-RI	220.000.000,00	1.132	220.000.000,00	1121	0,00	0,00%	-11	-0,97%
EF-ST	193.749.853,20	1.010	178.653.960,69	930	-15.095.892,51	-7,79%	-80	-7,92%
EF-CAR	10.928.948,91	39	20.154.854,08	76	9.225.905,17	84,42%	37	94,87%
EF-RI	15.321.197,89	83	21.191.185,23	115	5.869.987,34	38,31%	32	38,55%



CAR and RI





- Increased number of submissions for CAR and RI in 2018
- Increase in funded projects in CAR (+37) and RI (+32)
- Increase in success rate in CAR (from 9.22 % to 17.97%) and RI (from 14.00% to 19.39%)
- Method retained for IF-2019



Widening Fellowships



Europe

Which countries are concerned?



Member States: Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia. Associated Countries: Albania, Armenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Faroe Islands, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Georgia, Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia, Tunisia, Turkey and Ukraine.



2018: EUR 5 million 2019: EUR 6 million 2020: EUR 7 million

Applicants who wish to be considered for this funding opportunity should opt in during the application stage. Opting in, or out, is a fixed decision at proposal stage and cannot be modified at a later stage!





For **recruited researchers** with an H2020 MSCA grant having long term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments.

The special needs allowance will take the form of a **lump sum** awarded in the form of a low value grant to an identified beneficiary and will cover up to 100% of eligible costs.

It will be limited to a **maximum of EUR 60,000 per researcher** and is available as of **Q1 2019**.

For details please refer to the MSCA Work Programme and information available on the Participant Portal.

<u>The IF proposal should NOT contain any information on disabilities</u> (separate grant, separate evaluation).





IF-2018: Results

IF-2019: Topics, Budget & Planning Individual Fellowships – key concepts **European Fellowships explained Global Fellowships explained Evaluation and Award criteria Proposals – part A and B Novelties** Who can help you?

> Research Executive Agency



NCP – National Contact Points

🕋 🔤

فريا

SEARCH FUNDING & TENDERS * HC

HOW TO PARTICIPATE V PRO

PROJECTS & RESULTS WORK AS AN EXPERT

National Contact Points for Horizon 2020


The network of National Contact Points (NCPs) is the main structure to provide guidance, practical information and assistance on all aspe

Search by				
Country(ies):	try(ies)	Function(s): Marie Sklodowska	-Curie Actions	Search Clear
Order By:	Your country	Contact	Recent	Organisation





H2020 manual





Thank you for your attention!

